IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	FOR THE	R THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA		
TRACY DIEHM,	Plaintiff,	) ) )	8:04CV130	
v. CITY OF OMAHA,		) ) )	ORDER	
	Defendant.	) ) )		

This matter is before the court on plaintiff Tracy Diehm's motion in limine, Filing No. 84. The court having considered the motion, supporting documents, the brief in opposition and the relevant materials, now finds that the motion should be granted, in part, and denied, in part.

In her motion, the plaintiff seeks to prohibit defendant City of Omaha:

- From discussing the plaintiff's husband's former employment with the City of Omaha as a police officer and his current disability;
- 2. From discussing plaintiff's misstatement in her initial medical application concerning which shoulder she dislocated;
- 3. From playing the boxing video when plaintiff injured her shoulder; and
- 4. From discussing plaintiff's rib fracture sustained during the fitness and agility portion of the evaluation process.

8:04-cv-00130-JFB-TDT Doc # 88 Filed: 12/16/05 Page 2 of 2 - Page ID # 391

With respect to the discussion of the plaintiff's husband's former employment with

the City of Omaha as a police officer and his current disability, the court finds this

information to be irrelevant. Therefore, that part of the motion is GRANTED.

With respect to a discussion concerning plaintiff's misstatement in her initial medical

application concerning which shoulder she dislocated, the court finds that this information

is not material. Therefore, that part of the motion is GRANTED.

With respect to playing the boxing video when plaintiff injured her shoulder, the court

finds that this information may be relevant. Therefore, that part of the motion is DENIED.

With respect to a discussion of the plaintiff's rib fracture sustained during the fitness

and agility portion of the evaluation process, the court finds that this information may be

relevant. Therefore, that part of the motion is DENIED.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

That plaintiff's motion in limine, Filing No. 84, is granted, in part, and denied, in part,

as set forth herein.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/Joseph F. Bataillon

United States District Judge

2